Commonwealth of Massachusetts



Executive Office of Environmental Affairs ■ MEPA Office

Environmental Notification Form

For Office Use Only	•
Executive Office of Environmental Aj	fairs

EOEA No.: 13428

MEPA Analyst Aisling Eglington Phone: 617-626-1024

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name: Whitney Farm	•				
Street: Whitney Street					
Municipality: Sherborn-		Watershed: Cha	arles		78.84
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coordinates:		Latitude:71° 25'	2.25" W.	***	
4677272.5 northing; 383067.97 easting		Longitude: 42°			
Estimated commencement date: 2005		Estimated completion date: 2008			
Approximate cost: \$25—30 MM		Status of project	t design:	75	%complete
Proponent: Rising Tide Development LLC					
Street: 32 Arlington Street					
Municipality: Cambridge		State: MA	Zip Co	de: 021	40
Name of Contact Person From Whom Copi Dick Foster	es of this El	NF May Be Obtai	ined:		
Firm/Agency: Epsilon Associates, Inc.	-	Street: 150 Mai	n Street	-	
Municipality: Maynard		State: MA	Zip Co	de: 017	54
Phone: (978)897-7200	Fax: (978)	897-0099	E-mail: rfos	ter@ep	silonassociates.coi
Does this project meet or exceed a mandate	□Y		MR 11.03)?		⊠No
Has this project been filed with MEPA befor	□Y	es (EOEA No)		⊠No
Has any project on this site been filed with N		e ? ′es (EOEA No)		⊠No
Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11 a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) a Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CM a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CM a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11)	IR 11.09)	esting: Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes			⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No
Identify any financial assistance or land tran name and the amount of funding or land are	sfer from a	n agency of the C):	Commonwea	alth, inclu	uding the agency
Are you requesting coordinated review with _Yes(Specify	any other fe	ederal, state, regi ⊴No	onal, or loca	agenc	y?

List Local or Federal Permits and Approvals:

NPDES General Permit for Storm Water during Construction. Order of Conditions. Comprehensive Permit under M.G. L. Ch. 40B. Building Permit(s).

Which ENF or EIR review threshold(s) does the project meet or exceed (see 301 CMR 11.03):

☐ Land ☐ Water ☐ Energy ☐ ACEC	☐ Rare Species ☑ Wastewater ☐ Air ☐ Regulations	 ☐ Wetlands, Waterways, & Tidelands ☐ Transportation ☐ Solid & Hazardous Waste ☐ Historical & Archaeological
		Resources

			Resources			
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &		
& Environmental Impacts				Approvals		
	LAND			Order of Conditions		
Total site acreage	27.64			Superseding Order of Conditions		
New acres of land altered		17.1*		☐ Chapter 91 License		
Acres of impervious area	0.38	4.60	4.98	401 Water Quality Certification		
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		0		MHD or MDC Access Permit		
Square feet of new other wetland alteration		0*		☐ Water ManagementAct Permit☒ New Source Approval		
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways	-	0		DEP or MWRA Sewer Connection/		
STR	UCTURES			Extension Permit		
Gross square footage	16,550	75,350	91,900	│		
Number of housing units	2	46	48	Approvals) - Specify:		
Maximum height (in feet)	~35**		~38	DEP Groundwater Discharge Permit		
TRANS	SPORTATION			Housing Appeals Committee,		
Vehicle trips per day	0	530	530	Comprehensive Permit		
Parking spaces	5 - 10		112***			
WATER/	WASTEWATER	₹				
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use	0****	20,600	20,600			
GPD water withdrawal	0	20,600	20,600	1		
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment	0	20,600	20,600			
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)	0	Sewer 0.49 Water 0.24	0.73			

^{* (}Not counting farm fields as existing alteration).

^{** (}existing farm house, silo is approximately 45 feet in height)

^{***} Two spaces per house plus off-street spaces visitor parking, recreation facility parking and for public access to the proposed rail trail. Note that Zoning Board of Appeals has required that each driveway have capacity to accommodate two additional vehicles.

^{**** (}in last five years.)

CONSERVATION LAND: Will the project involve the conversion of	public parkland or other Article 97 public
natural resources to any purpose not in accordance with Article 973	?
☐Yes (Specify)	⊠No
Will it involve the release of any conservation restriction, preservation restriction, or watershed preservation restriction?	on restriction, agricultural preservation
	□No
RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Estimated Habitat o	f Rare Species. Vernal Pools Priority
Sites of Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Communities?	and appearing formal troology morely
_Yes (Specify)	⊠No
HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does the projection	ect site include any structure, site or district
listed in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of Historic Commonwealth?	oric and Archaeological Assets of the
⊠Yes (Specify)	□No
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction of any archaeological resources?	
⊠Yes (Specify)	□No
The proposed project site is located within the Warren and James R. Inventory of Historic and Archaeological Assets of the Commonwea National Register of Historic Places by the MHC in October 2003. rehabilitation of the ca. 1910 farmhouse, demolition of the main barrely salvage of barn materials for reuse off-site.	alth and determined eligible for listing on the The proposed project includes the
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Is the projection of the	ect in or adjacent to an Area of Critical
Environmental Concern?	and the disjustant to diff. and of official
Yes (Specify)	⊠No
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description should	d include (a) a description of the
project site, (b) a description of both on-site and off-site alte	rnatives and the impacts associated
with each alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mi	itigation measures for each alternative
(You may attach one additional page, if necessary.)	

The proposed Whitney Farm is a clustered single-family development of 48 homes on a 28 acre site, to be permitted under Chapter 40B. See Fig. 1, Project Locus Plan.

The existing site consists of an abandoned dairy farm which has been used primarily as hayfields for the last twenty-five years. There is an existing two-family farmhouse (which will be restored) and several barns and outbuildings dating mostly from the 1950s and 1960s. The site is relatively flat and wetland resources are primarily at the perimeter of the site, including Dopping Brook to the south with wetlands and some Riverfront Area along its banks, a farm pond to the west, and an abandoned railroad bed with associated wetlands to the east. The site has significant frontage on Whitney Street in Sherborn and abuts Ashland and Holliston. See Fig. 2, Existing Conditions Plan. Current zoning requires 2-acre residential lots and the site could therefore accommodate roughly ten to twelve single family homes through conventional subdivision.

The proposed development plan consists of 48 homes together with roads, grading, drainage and utilities, and recreational amenities. Twenty-two of the homes are attached in duplex configuration and the remaining are detached single-family. Twelve of the homes will be affordable and deed-restricted to households under 80 percent of median income. All of the homes are clustered on small private lots so as to allow large common areas along Dopping Brook and the railroad bed, and a large area for shallow stormwater management along Whitney Street. Because of this clustered plan, large areas along Dopping Brook and the railroad bed will remain undeveloped and in common ownership, and restored to wildlife meadow. (An Order of Conditions was issued by the Sherborn Conservation Commission in October, 2004.) See Fig. 3, Project Schematic Plan, and Fig. 4, Project Overview Plan. No public water or sewer is currently available to the site. On-site sewage treatment will be provided by a private wastewater treatment plant. Each home will have its own private water supply well.

Vehicular access will be provided by a new roadway off of Whitney Street. Pedestrian access will be provided with sidewalks within the development and along portions of Whitney Street, potentially connecting to sidewalks in Ashland. The development will also provide a public access easement and parking area for public access to the proposed rail trail in Sherborn where it meets Whitney Street. On-site recreational amenities include a play area, tennis court, common open spaces and play fields, walking trails along the brook and meadow, and potentially a community pool and pool house for use by the residents and their guests.

LAND

I.

11.

SECTION – all proponents must fill out this section
Thresholds / Permits A. Does the project meet or exceed any review thresholds related to land (see 301 CMR 11.03(1) Yes _X_ No; if yes, specify each threshold:
Impacts and Permits A. Describe, in acres, the current and proposed character of the project site, as follows: Existing Change Total
B. Has any part of the project site been in active agricultural use in the last three years? X Yes No; if yes, how many acres of land in agricultural use (with agricultural soils) will be converted to nonagricultural use?
The property has been hayed. 3.5 acres will be converted to wildlife meadow; 20.5 acres will be developed. Soils are not considered "prime" agricultural soils per NRCS. Owners have applied proceeds of sale to purchase of a dairy farm in Locke, New York, where they have improved the herd and are continuing to farm.
C. Is any part of the project site currently or proposed to be in active forestry use? Yes _X No; if yes, please describe current and proposed forestry activities and indicate whether any part of the site is the subject of a DEM-approved forest management plan:
D. Does any part of the project involve conversion of land held for natural resources purposes in accordance with Article 97 of the Amendments to the Constitution of the Commonwealth to any purpose not in accordance with Article 97? Yes X No; if yes, describe:
E. Is any part of the project site currently subject to a conservation restriction, preservation restriction, agricultural preservation restriction or watershed preservation restriction? Yes X No; if yes, does the project involve the release or modification of such restriction? Yes No; if yes, describe:
F. Does the project require approval of a new urban redevelopment project or a fundamental change in an existing urban redevelopment project under M.G.L.c.121A? Yes X No; if yes, describe:
G. Does the project require approval of a new urban renewal plan or a major modification of an existing urban renewal plan under M.G.L.c.121B? Yes No X; if yes, describe:
H. Describe the project's stormwater impacts and, if applicable, measures that the project will take to comply with the standards found in DEP's Stormwater Management Policy:
Analysis prepared for the Conservation Commission has resulted in issuance of a Final Order of Conditions. The project design comports with DEP's Stormwater Management Policy. Three detention/infiltration

basins are incorporated into site design. Calculations performed for all design storm events indicate that peak rates of run-off will decrease to all areas surrounding the project site. Runoff from many roofs and roadways will be directed into detention/infiltration basins to meet recharge objectives for the project. Roadway runoff will be pretreated within hooded, deep-sump catchbasins, "forebay" sediment traps or a treatment unit prior to recharge achieving TSS removal requirements for the project. An area of Isolated

